April 5, 2004

The Honorable Robert B. Zoellick United States Trade Representative Executive Office of the President Washington, D.C. 20508

Dear Ambassador Zoellick:

Pursuant to Section 2104 (e) of the Trade Act of 2002 and Section 135 (e) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, I am pleased to transmit the report of the ISAC 7 (Ferrous Ores and Metals) on the United States-Morocco Free Trade Agreement, reflecting consensus on the proposed Agreement.

Sincerely,

William Pendleton Chair, ISAC 7

William J. Pendleton

The U.S.-Morocco Free Trade Agreement (FTA)

Report of the
Industry Sector Advisory Committee on Ferrous Ores and Metals
ISAC 7
April 2004

Industry Sector Advisory Committee 7 for Ferrous Ores and Metals

Advisory Committee Report to the President, the Congress and the United States Trade Representative on U.S.— Morocco Free Trade Agreement (FTA)

I. Purpose of the Committee Report

Section 2104 (e) of the Trade Act of 2002 requires that advisory committees provide the President, the U.S. Trade Representative, and Congress with reports required under section 135 (e)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, not later than 30 days after the President notifies Congress of his intent to enter into an agreement.

Under Section 135 (e) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the report of the Advisory Committee for Trade Policy and Negotiations and each appropriate policy advisory committee must include an advisory opinion as to whether and to what extent the agreement promotes the economic interests of the United States and achieves the applicable overall and principal negotiating objectives set forth in the Trade Act of 2002.

The report of the appropriate sectoral or functional committee must also include an advisory opinion as to whether the agreement provides for equity and reciprocity within the sectoral or functional area.

Pursuant to these requirements, the ISAC-7 for Ferrous Ores and Metals hereby submits the following report.

II. Executive Summary of Committee Report

The United States – Morocco FTA reviewed by ISAC-7 does not provide for changes in, or changes in application of, U.S. AD-CVD statutes—ISAC-7's most important concern in regard to trade remedy laws. And, as regards AD-CVD, each party retains its rights and obligations under WTO. Provisions on safeguards and government procurement reflect the "boiler plate" texts ISAC-7 reviewed previously in the FTAs with Singapore, Chile, Australia and Central American countries, and appear to create no particular problems for ISAC-7.

ISAC-7 also concludes from its review of this agreement that it promotes the economic interests of the United States and achieves the applicable overall and principal negotiation objectives set forth in the Trade Act of 2002. ISAC-7 further concludes that this agreement provides for equity and reciprocity in ISAC-7's sector, ferrous ores and metals.

ISAC-7 <u>qualifies</u> the conclusions stated in the paragraph above however, by observing (i) that the agreement with Morocco covers only a very small proportion of the international trade of the U.S. and (ii) that even that coverage does not relate to ISAC-7's concerns, for example, with the

functioning of the WTO (especially dispute settlement provisions), which certainly affect our sector's economic interests and the equity and reciprocity for the U.S. overall that we seek in U.S. trade agreements.

Finally, and recognizing that currency exchange rates are not an issue specified in the U. S—Morocco FTA, ISAC-7 wishes to repeat its deep concern at the lack of a clear, specific policy on U.S. dollar exchange rates as they affect trade agreements and their implementation. The core of the Committee's position is that market forces should determine exchange rates, without manipulation by governments. The Committee plans to make proposals on this subject shortly, reflecting not only the obvious importance of exchange rates in U.S. imports and exports but also their potential major impact on U.S. energy policy, i.e., OPEC's possible strategy of tightening oil production to compensate for the current weaker dollar's effect on the cartel's income.

III. Brief Description of the Mandate of ISAC-7 for Ferrous Ores and Metals

The Committee shall perform such functions and duties and prepare reports, as required under Section 135 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, with respect to this sector and functional advisory committees.

The Committee advises the Secretary and the USTR concerning trade matters referred to in Sections 101, 102, and 124 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended; with respect to the operation of any trade agreement once entered into; and with respect to other matters arising in connection with the development, implementation and administration of the trade policy of the United States including these matters referred to in Reorganization Plan Number 3 of 1979 and Executive Order 12188, and the priorities for actions thereunder.

In particular, the Committee provides detailed policy and technical advice, information, and recommendations to the Secretary and the USTR regarding trade barriers and implementation of trade agreements negotiated under Sections 101 and 102 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, and Sections 1102 and 1103 of the 1988 Trade Act, which affect the products of its sector; and performs such other advisory functions relevant to U.S. trade policy as may be requested by the Secretary and the USTR or their designees.

IV. Negotiating Objectives and Priorities of ISAC-7 for Ferrous Ores and Metals

Negotiating Objectives and Priorities for Ferrous Ores and Metals in the multilateral Doha Round and in bilateral Free Trade Agreements such as this FTA include the preservation and strengthening of international trade rules with regard to the right to initiate trade actions against unfair trade activities by foreign producers. The paramount objective is to ensure that the availability and enforceability of trade remedies provided under US law are not in any way, shape or form weakened by, or as a result of, this or other negotiated trade agreements.

Another key and related objective is the reform of the current WTO dispute settlement process, particularly as it dilutes US laws and sovereignty. It is critical that neither this nor any other FTA compromise this objective.

A third key objective is the elimination of non-tariff trade barriers (NTB's) that prevent or deter fair foreign market access by US producers of ferrous ores and metals. This would include policies which would create any bias against US exports. It is critically important that all FTAs move in the direction of supporting the elimination of NTB's.

A fourth, equally important objective is to ensure that, in the implementation of trade agreements, currency exchange rates are determined by market forces, without any governmental manipulation.

V. ISAC-7 Opinion on the Agreement

Morocco's steel industry is very small, as is its market for steel mill products. According to Iron and Steel Works of the World (2002) Morocco's two steel companies (Maghreb Steel and Sonasid) have, together, raw steel capacity of just over a million metric tons, though expansion of steel and rolling capacity is planned. The International Iron and Steel Institute reports that apparent consumption of crude steel totaled just 1.7 million metric tons in 2002.

With this in mind, first, ISAC-7 members' overriding concern in considering the U.S.–Morocco FTA is with the extent to which this FTA may weaken the <u>availability</u> and <u>enforceability</u>, under U.S. law, of effective remedies against unfair trade practices affecting U.S. companies whose trade interests are represented by ISAC-7 members. The remedies in question are principally those provided by U.S. <u>anti-dumping and countervailing duty laws, safeguards</u> provisions and other <u>statutory provisions against anti-competitive practices</u> in international trade. ISAC-7 members believe that it is more important than ever to enforce trade remedies strictly, given the evidence of growing public doubt about the benefits of free trade: the results of the recent survey on this subject by the University of Maryland's Program on International Policy Attitudes attest to this change of public mood, as do recent policy statements in some political campaigns.

Second, ISAC-7 members are also deeply concerned, in regard to both this FTA and all others, as to the <u>fairness</u> and <u>effectiveness</u> of <u>dispute settlement provisions</u>, both as provided for in the FTA and, most critically, as implemented by the WTO overall.

Third, given the very small role of Morocco's steel industry in global steel industry trade, ISAC-7's review of the FTA is centered as much on the precedents set for other FTAs in future, especially the FTAA and FTA's which may result from the Doha Round, as on the specifics of the U.S.- Morocco FTA itself.

Fourth, the difficulties which privately owned U.S. companies face in competing in foreign markets where governments play influential roles in ownership, business policies and/or management, mean that WTO government procurement rules are of major commercial

importance for U.S. firms, especially when market opportunities in defense-related, construction, motor vehicles, and rail, air and seaport projects, etc., are involved.

In light of all of the above, ISAC-7 notes that the U.S.—Morocco FTA makes <u>no changes</u> in the applicability of U.S. anti-dumping or countervailing duty laws; that provisions for safeguards may last no longer than five years; provide for emergency action in "critical circumstances"; disallow quantitative restrictions and tariff quota measures; any repeated imposition of a safeguard is forbidden after a first implementation; and that dispute settlement provisions are improved (e.g., by open public hearings, public release of legal submissions, and right of interested third parties to submit views) without, however, making urgently needed fundamental corrections to the existing WTO dispute settlement system.

ISAC-7 notes also that numerous states have agreed to be covered by the government procurement provisions of these FTAs, including such important industrial states as Illinois, Maryland, Michigan, New York and Pennsylvania. These inclusions are likely to be detrimental to American steel interests unless there is strict adherence to exclusions, consistent with international trade rules, that are specifically provided for those manufactures of special concern to steel: federally funded mass transit and highway, rail, airport and seaport projects, defense procurement, motor vehicles, specialty metals, bearings and "construction grade steel." ISAC-7 members will be closely monitoring the details of implementation of these government procurement provisions, and strongly recommend that the U.S. government provide reports, at least annually, on how their implementation has worked in terms of U.S. companies' participation in procurement contracts, both foreign and domestic.

VI. <u>Membership of the Committee</u>

Maurice Carino, Jr.
Representing International Steel Group

Thomas Danjczek
President
Steel Manufacturers Association

Frank Fenton Counselor, International Trade and Economic Relations Representing Cold Finished Steel Bar Institute

James Fritsch
Executive Vice President
Commercial Metals Company Steel Group

David Hawley Consultant Representing Ryerson Tull William Hickey President Lapham-Hickey Steel, Inc.

Robert Johns Director of Marketing , Sheet Mill Group Nucor Corporation

Peter Mulloney Consultant Representing GS Industries

William Martin Vice President Neenah Foundry Company

Raymond Monroe Executive Vice President Steel Founders Society of America

C. Davis Nelsen, II President and Chief Executive Officer Nelsen Steel Company

John Nolan Vice President, Sales and Marketing Steel Dynamics, Inc.

William Pendleton International Trade Counsel Carpenter Technology Corp.

Terrence D. Straub Senior Vice President-Public Policy and Governmental Affairs United States Steel Corporation

Robin K. Weiner President Institute of Scrap Recycling Industries